## **Discussions on Scientific Integrity – BMS 700**

Fall semester, 1 credit (P/F) Course Coordinators: Fred L. Minnear and Daniel Vasgird Time: Tuesdays from 12:00 pm to 1:15 pm; Room: John Jones 2 Text: In library – Francis Macrina, *Scientific Integrity*, 4<sup>th</sup> Edition

Date	Topic	<u>Faculty</u>		
Aug 25	Research Misconduct	Vasgird		
	Philosophy background, ethics and morals, definitions			
Sep 1	ug 25Research Misconduct Philosophy background, ethics and morals, definitionspp 1Intellectual Prop & Data Ownership Ownership, Patents, trademarks, copyrightpp 15Innovation and Entrepreneurship Motivation, Accountability, Transparency –ethical questions around research commercializationpp 29Plagiarism Definition, what constitutesct 6Confidentiality with Datasets Use & protection of patient data, accessing health recordsct 20Conflict of Interest Financial, time, personnel, students, Federal definitionsct 27Collaborative Research Where, when, what, how, whoov 10Human Research Protections Early public health casesov 17Ethical Issues in Clinical Investigation Institutional Review Board (IRB) Application process; practical issues – review casesec 1Animal Research Protections	Dey		
	Ownership, Patents, trademarks, copyright			
Sept 15	<ul> <li>5 Research Misconduct <ul> <li>Philosophy background, ethics and morals, definitions</li> <li>Intellectual Prop &amp; Data Ownership</li> <li>Ownership, Patents, trademarks, copyright</li> </ul> </li> <li>5 Innovation and Entrepreneurship <ul> <li>Motivation, Accountability, Transparency –ethical questions around research commercialization</li> </ul> </li> <li>9 Plagiarism <ul> <li>Definition, what constitutes</li> <li>Conflict of Interest</li> <li>Financial, time, personnel, students, Federal definitions</li> </ul> </li> <li>7 Collaborative Research <ul> <li>Where, when, what, how, who</li> </ul> </li> <li>0 Human Research Protections <ul> <li>Early public health cases</li> </ul> </li> <li>7 Ethical Issues in Clinical Investigation <ul> <li>Institutional Review Board (IRB)</li> <li>Application process; practical issues – review cases</li> <li>Animal Research Protections</li> <li>Federal regulations, philosophy</li> <li>Authorship &amp; Peer Review</li> <li>Reviewing papers, proposals; publishing and responsibilities</li> </ul></li></ul>	Giersch		
	Motivation, Accountability, Transparency –ethical questions			
	around research commercialization			
Sept 29	Plagiarism	Mathers		
	Definition, what constitutes			
Oct 6	Confidentiality with Datasets	Hulsey		
	Use & protection of patient data, accessing health records			
-	Conflict of Interest	J. Edwards		
	Financial, time, personnel, students, Federal definitions			
Oct 27	Collaborative Research	P. Lockman		
	Where, when, what, how, who			
Nov 10	Human Research Protections	Vasgird		
	Early public health cases			
Nov 17	Ethical Issues in Clinical Investigation	Davis		
	Institutional Review Board (IRB)			
	Application process; practical issues – review cases			
Dec 1	Animal Research Protections	Wimsatt		
	Federal regulations, philosophy			
Dec 8	Authorship & Peer Review	J. Frisbee		
	Reviewing papers, proposals; publishing and responsibilities			
	Wrap-up session	Vasgird		

Mentee MCA for Self-reflection

Mentoring Competency Assessment (MCA) Please Note: This is intended for self-reflection. Your responses will not be captured if you complete this form.

Mentoring Skills

Please rate how skilled you feel your mentor is in each of the following areas: [We understand that you can only speak from your personal experience. Please try to rate a skill whenever possible, reserving the 'not observed' category for cases where you have no basis for assessment].

	Not at all			Moderately			Extremely	Not
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	observed
1. Active listening	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2. Providing you constructive feedback	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3. Establishing a relationship based on trust with you	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Identifying and accommodating different communication styles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Not at all 1	2	3	Moderately 4	5	6	Extremely 7	Not observed
5. Employing strategies to improve communication with you	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Coordinating effectively with other mentors with whom you work	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Working with you to set clear expectations of the mentoring relationship	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Aligning his/her expectations with your own	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Not at all 1	2	3	Moderately 4	5	6	Extremely 7	Not observed
9. Considering how personal and professional differences may impact expectations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Working with you to set research goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11. Helping you develop strategies to meet research goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12. Accurately estimating your level of scientific knowledge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Not at all 1	2	3	Moderately 4	5	6	Extremely 7	Not observed
13. Accurately estimating your ability to conduct research	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14. Employing strategies to enhance your understanding of the research	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15. Motivating you	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16. Building your confidence	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Not at all			Moderately			Extremely	Not
17.000	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	observed
<ul><li>17. Stimulating your creativity</li><li>18. Acknowledging your</li></ul>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
professional contributions 19. Negotiating a path to professional independence with	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
you 20. Taking into account the biases and prejudices s/he brings to your mentor/mentee relationship	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
relationship	Not at all 1	2	3	Moderately 4	5	6	Extremely 7	Not observed
21. Working effectively with mentees whose personal background is different from his/her own (age, race, gender, class, region, culture, religion, family composition etc.)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
22. Helping you network effectively	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
23. Helping you set career goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24. Helping you balance work with your personal life	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0







Survey Powered By Qualtrics