## Molecular Profiling

Matthew Smolkin, MD HCLD Medical Director Molecular Pathology Diagnostic Laboratory



## **Objectives**

- Defining molecular profiling
- Technologies
- Why do we profile tumors?
- Current testing & limitations
- Future directions



## What is Molecular Profiling?

- "The classification of tissue or other specimens for diagnostic, prognostic, and predictive purposes based on multiple gene expression...., is a technology that holds major promise for optimizing the management of patients with cancer"
- Utilizes biomarkers
  - a measurable indicator of the severity or presence of some disease state
- Utilizes multiple testing modalities



# Biomarker utility can be context dependent (or not)

- Traditional paradigm of specific biomarkers within diseases/conditions that can help diagnose, select treatment, or provide prognostic information
  - Lung CA: EGFR, ALK, ROS1, etc.
  - Biomarkers for one disease can be useless in another
- Paradigm being challenged by biomarkers that may be more generally predictive of therapeutic response
  - NTRK1,2,3
  - MSI/MMR
  - PD-1/PD-L1



# Why do we need to use multiple testing modalities?

- Biomarker detection testing: nucleic acids, proteins, epigenetic changes
- Different tests can have variations in
  - Sensitivity
  - Specificity
  - Clinically relevant limits of detection
  - Specimen types
  - Specimen needs
- Understanding what information each specific testing modality and individual test can and cannot detect is essential



Immunohistochemistry (IHC)



Ji H, Xuan Q, Nanding A, Zhang H, Zhang Q. The Clinicopathologic and Prognostic Value of Altered Chromosome 17 Centromere Copy Number in HER2 Fish Equivocal Breast Carcinomas. Wikman H, ed. *PLoS ONE*. 2015;10(7):e0132824. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0132824.



In-situ Hybridization (CISH/FISH): detects gene deletions, amplifications, translocations and fusions



FISH - HER2 not amplified



FISH - HER2 amplified



 Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (qPCR): amplifies and quantifies a targeted DNA molecule





- Sanger Sequencing
  - Sequencing by incorporation of dideoxynucleotides





- Pyro Sequencing (PyroSeq)
  - Sequence small DNA sequences
  - DNA methylation- epigenomics



cmgm.stanford.edu



- Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS)
- Rapidly examines and more broadly detects DNA mutations, copy number variations and gene fusions across the genome
  - Informatics is key



Illumina





## Variations of advanced sequencing

- Targeted sequencing
  - Most common NGS testing
  - Can be one gene or a panel of genes
  - 1000's of amplicons
- Whole exome sequencing (WES)
  - Targeted sequencing- hundreds of thousands of probes
  - 1.5% of genome
- Whole genome sequencing (WGS)

## Ok, enough pathology...why do we care about molecular profiling?

- Multiple reasons
- Not possible to do a deep dive on each of these, but will use a specific example to illustrate utility



## Initially to guide treatment selection

- Breast Cancer
  - Her2/neu (ERBB2) Transtuzumab
  - The first field in which molecular profiling has been approved and reimbursed for clinical use
  - FDA approved to treat her2/neu expressing metastatic breast cancers in September 1998
  - ER/PR
- Lung
  - EGFR
  - IHC vs molecular



## Still used to guide treatment selection

- EGFR resistance
  - EGFR resistance develops frequently
  - EGFR T790M detection can help clinician decide
    - When to switch therapy
    - Which therapy may be best choice
  - Multiple testing options
    - FFPE
    - Cell-free circulating DNA
    - FNA
    - Others



## **Diagnose a specific neoplastic process**

- MPN JAK2, CALR
- Synovial sarcoma
  - t(X;18)(p11;q11)
  - SS18-SSX1
  - SS18-SSX2
  - SS18-SSX4



Sarcomaimages.com

### **Identify clinically relevant mutations**

### Biomarkers Significant for Study and Treatment of Hematologic Cancers

Chromosome and Gene Abbreviations	Associated Cancer	Treatment Correlation
Philadelphia chromosome t(9;22) (translocation between chromosomes 9+22)	Chronic myeloid leukemia (CML), acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL)	Responds to imatinib (Gleevec®), dasatinib (Sprycel®), nilotinib (Tasigna®)
<b>IDH2</b> (R140 or R172)	Acute myeloid leukemia (AML)	Responds to enasidenib (Idhifa®)
JAK2 V617F	Myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPNs): polycythemia vera (PV), myelofibrosis (MF), essential thrombocythemia (ET)**	Responds to ruxolitinib (Jakafi®)
PML-RARA	Acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL)	Responds to all- <i>trans</i> retinoic acid (ATRA), arsenic trioxide (Trisenox <sup>®</sup> )
FLT3-ITD	Acute myeloid leukemia (AML)	Responds to midostaurin (Rydapt®)
ALK rearrangement	Anaplastic large-cell lymphoma (ALCL)	Responds to crizotinib (Xalkori®)*
BRAF V600E	Hairy cell leukemia	Responds to vemurafenib (Zelboraf®)*





## Provide predictive and/or prognostic information

- IDH1 mutations
  - Strong predictor of a better prognosis in glioblastoma
  - Specific marker of secondary glioblastomas



Pathologyoutlines.com



## Follow progression of disease

- Chronic myeloid leukemia (*BCR-ABL*)
  resistance
  - Patient is non-responsive to TKI therapy
  - Change in hematologic or cytogenetic remission
  - Change in BCR-ABL transcript, loss of major molecular remission
  - Progression to accelerated or blast phase

National Comprehensive Cancer Network. NCCN clinical practice guidlelines in oncology: Chronic myeloid leukemia.

Cortes J, Jabbour E, Kantarjian H, et all. Dynamics of BCR-ABL kinase domain mutations in chronic myeloid leukemia after sequential treatment with Multiple tyrosine kinase inhibitors. Blood 2007;110: 4005-4011



### Determine eligibility for immunooncology drugs







### **Discover new biomarkers**

Questions that can be answered by cancer biomarkers



Liu H, Li F, Zhu Y, Li T, Huang H, Lin T, Hu Y, Qi X, Yu J, Li G. Whole-exome sequencing to identify somatic mutations in peritoneal metastatic gastric adenocarcinoma: A preliminary study. Oncotarget. 2016 Jul 12;7(28). Wikipedia.org



## Identify eligible patients for clinical trials

Foundation Medicine, Caris Assays Identify Patients for NCI-MATCH JUNE 9, 2017 NATIONAL CANCER INSTITUTE NCI-MATCH CLINICAL TRIAL

> THIS PRECISION MEDICINE TRIAL EXPLORES TREATING PATIENTS BASED ON THE MOLECULAR PROFILES OF THEIR TUMORS

### NCI-MATCH\* IS FOR ADULTS WITH:

- solid tumors (including rare tumors), lymphomas, and myeloma
- tumors that no longer respond to standard treatment



IF A PATIENT'S TUMOR HAS A GENETIC ABNORMALITY THAT MATCHES ONE TARGETED BY A DRUG USED IN THE TRIAL, THE PATIENT WILL BE ELIGIBLE TO JOIN THE TREATMENT PORTION OF NCI-MATCH

https://www.clinicalomics.com/articles/foundation-medicine-caris-assays-identify-patients-for-nci-match/1125

## **Biomarker-driven clinical guidelines**

### Clinical Significance of DNA Variants in Chronic Myeloid Neoplasms

### A Report of the Association for Molecular Pathology

Rebecca F. McClure, \*<sup>†</sup> Mark D. Ewalt, \*<sup>‡</sup> Jennifer Crow, \*<sup>§</sup> Robyn L. Temple-Smolkin, <sup>¶</sup> Mrudula Pullambhatla, <sup>¶</sup> Rachel Sargent, \*<sup>||</sup> and Annette S. Kim\*, \*\*

sequencing remains critical for patient management. The following genes are a minimum recommended list to provide relevant clinical information for the management of most CMNs: ASXL1, BCOR, BCORL1, CALR, CBL, CEBPA, CSF3R, DNMT3A, ETV6, EZH2, FLT3, IDH1, IDH2, JAK2, KIT, KRAS, MPL, NF1, NPM1, NRAS, PHF6, PPM1D, PTPN11, RAD21, RUNX1, SETBP1, SF3B1, SMC3, SRSF2, STAG2, TET2, TP53, U2AF1, and ZRSR2. This list is not comprehensive for all myeloid neoplasms and will evolve as insights into

And many more (CRC, Lung, Hemeonc...)

McClure RF, Ewalt MD, Crow J, Temple-Smolkin RL, Pullambhatla M, Sargent R, Kim AS.Clinical Significance of DNA Variants in Chronic Myeloid Neoplasms: A Report of the Association for Molecular Pathology. J Mol Diagn. 2018 Aug 20.



## Common current testing – traditional biomarker concept

- Esophageal/ Gastric adenocarcinoma
  - Her2
- Lung Cancer
  - EGFR, BRAF, ALK, ROS1, etc..
- Colorectal Cancer
  - KRAS, Extended RAS
- Brain Cancer
- Head and Neck Squamous cell carcinomas
   PDL-1
- Examples, not all inclusive list



## **Tumor type agnostic biomarkers**

- Biomarkers that may be more generally predictive of poor prognosis or therapeutic response are being discovered / utilized
  - MMR / MSI
  - Tumor mutational burden
  - NTRK1,2,3
  - PD-1 / PD-L1



## **MSI / MMR**

 "Pembrolizumab (Keytruda®), Merck's antiprogrammed cell death-1 (PD-1) monoclonal antibody (mAb), received accelerated approval in May 2017 by the US Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of adult and pediatric patients with unresectable or metastatic solid tumors that have been identified as having microsatellite instability-high (MSI-H) or deficient DNA mismatch repair (dMMR)".



### **MSI / MMR**



### MSI testing on Genotyper



lynchscreening.net Pathologyoutlines.com



## **Tumor mutation burden**

- Quantitative biomarker used to predict sensitivity to checkpoint inhibitor therapy
  - PD-L1 expression does not always predict response to immunotherapy agents
  - Increased number of gene mutations may incite a stronger anti-tumor immune response to immunotherapy
  - Low, intermediate, and high compared with reference median genomic TMB
    - Currently no consensus on reporting

Vanderwalde A, Spetzler D, Xiao N, Gatalica Z, Marshall J. Microsatellite instability status determined by next-generation sequencing and compared with PD-L1 and tumor mutational burden in 11,348 patients. *Cancer Medicine*. 2018;7(3):746-756. doi:10.1002/cam4.1372.

Fabrizio DA, George TJ, Dunne RF, et al. Beyond microsatellite testing: assessment of tumor mutational burden identifies subsets of colorectal cancer who may respond to immune checkpoint inhibition. *Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology*. 2018;9(4):610-617. doi:10.21037/jgo.2018.05.06.

## **Rapidly advancing area**

- Technology advancing literally every day
- Many new biomarkers transitioning into clinical use with
  - Emerging / limited published literature
  - Non-standardized detection methods
  - Bioinformatics challenges
- Important to understand that there are challenges to existing technologies that can impact this clinical service



## Limitations on current molecular profiling tests

- Liquid biopsies
  - Guardant360 Guardant Health Inc
  - PlasmaSELECT-R64 from Personal Genome
    - Diagnostics



Pantel K. and Alix-Panabières C.

Real-time Liquid Biopsy in Cancer Patients: Fact or Fiction? Cancer Research. November 2013



## Limitations on current molecular profiling tests

### **RESEARCH LETTER**

### Patient-Paired Sample Congruence Between 2 Commercial Liquid Biopsy Tests

Figure 2. Congruence Analysis of cfDNA-Targeted Next-Generation Sequencing in 2 Independent Commercial Platforms



Patients negative for cell-free DNA (cfDNA) alterations in both tests were classified as complete congruence for O alterations (9/40 [22.5%]). For congruence analysis, patients who had 1 or more alterations reported, but none was covered by both tests, were excluded and classified as not evaluable for

patient-level congruence (6/40 [15%]). The proportion of patients with complete congruence for 1 or more alterations, partial, and no congruence was 3 of 40 (7.5%), 6 of 40 (15%), and 16 of 40 (40%), respectively, among the 2 platforms.

Pantel K. and Alix-Panabières C.

Real-time Liquid Biopsy in Cancer Patients: Fact or Fiction? Cancer Research. November 2013

**ASCO/CAP Liquid Biopsy Tests in People with Cancer: An Expert Review** More Evidence Needed to Establish Effective and Appropriate Use in the Clinic March 2018



## Much more to this story that we still have not discovered

# Clinical impact of extensive molecular profiling in advanced cancer patients



Sophie Cousin<sup>1,2</sup>, Thomas Grellety<sup>2</sup>, Maud Toulmonde<sup>1,2</sup>, Céline Auzanneau<sup>3</sup>, Emmanuel Khalifa<sup>3</sup>, Yec'han Laizet<sup>4</sup>, Kevin Tran<sup>4</sup>, Sylvestre Le Moulec<sup>1,2</sup>, Anne Floquet<sup>2</sup>, Delphine Garbay<sup>2</sup>, Jacques Robert<sup>3</sup>, Isabelle Hostein<sup>3</sup>, Isabelle Soubeyran<sup>3</sup> and Antoine Italiano<sup>1,2\*</sup>

phase trials. The treatment was matched with a tumour profile in 86 cases (15%). The pop-inclusion were non-progressive disease (31.5%) and general status deterioration

Cousin et al. Journal of Hematology & Oncology (2017) 10:45 DOI 10.1186/s13045-017-0411-5



## Not all challenges are technical

- N of 1
- Failed studies not published
- Standardization of testing, reporting and informatics among providing laboratories
- Integrative reporting



## **Future directions**

- Constant improvement on providing evidencebased panels
- Improving technologies
- In house testing
- Better informatics and decision support tools
- Combination immunotherapy profiles
- Further subcategorization of tumors
- Additional clinical utility establishment
- New technologies (multiple more –omics)



## My office



"just meet me at the pylons"

